IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2712 OF 2005
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 347 OF 2005

Mr.Ramesh Ganpat Mundhe ...Applicant/
Appellant

Vs.

State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

....

Mr.Manoj J.Bhatt for Advocate for Applicant
Mr.M.S.Mhambray A.P.P. for the State

....

CORAM: SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.

DATE : JUNE 20, 2005

P.C.

1. Heard both sides.

2. The applicant is convicted under Section 395 of IPC and sentenced to R.I. for seven years. P.W.5 Minakshi has identified the applicant in test identification parade as well as before the Court. The evidence of Minakshi and other witnesses clearly implicates the applicant. Hence, I do not

think that this is a fit case to grant bail.

3. Application for bail is rejected. In case the appeal is not heard by February, 2006, liberty is granted to the applicant to prefer a fresh application for bail.

[V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.]